

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Particulars | Reference to | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|
| | Paragraph | Page |
| Preface | | v |
| Overview | | vii |
| CHAPTER-1 | | |
| Introduction | | |
| Introduction | 1.1 | 1 |
| Organisational Set up | 1.2 | 1 |
| UDAY Scheme and its salient features | 1.3 | 2 |
| Audit Objectives | 1.4 | 2 |
| Audit Scope and Methodology | 1.5 | 3 |
| Audit Criteria | 1.6 | 3 |
| Acknowledgement | | 4 |
| CHAPTER-2 | | |
| Audit findings | | |
| Non-achievement of UDAY scheme objectives | 2.1 | 5 |
| Planning | 2.2 | 6 |
| Deficiencies in Action plan | 2.2.1 | 6 |
| Financial Management | 2.3 | 6 |
| Shortfall in takeover of debts of TANGEDCO by the GoTN | 2.3.1 | 7 |
| Failure to convert the 25 per cent debt in to bonds resulted in additional interest burden | 2.3.2 | 9 |
| Increase in the debts/loans of TANGEDCO | 2.3.3 | 10 |
| Failure of GoTN to take over the loss of TANGEDCO | 2.3.4 | 12 |
| Delay in conversion of Interest Free Loans in to Grants | 2.3.5 | 13 |
| Partial repayment of loan | 2.3.6 | 13 |
| Payment of overdue and penal interest ₹503.28 crore to Banks/FIs against the provisions of UDAY MoU | 2.3.7 | 14 |

| Particulars | Reference to | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|
| | Paragraph | Page |
| Implementation | 2.4 | 14 |
| Failure to reduce the gap between ACS and ARR | 2.4.1 | 15 |
| Amortization of Regulatory Asset against the fund provided by GoTN | 2.4.2 | 16 |
| Non-revision of tariff to match the cost | 2.4.3 | 16 |
| Failure to seek tariff hike as stipulated in the MoU | 2.4.4 | 17 |
| Delay in submitting subsidy proposal | 2.4.5 | 19 |
| Loss of revenue due to subsidy claim based on number of service connections instead of power consumed | 2.4.6 | 20 |
| Performance of generating stations of TANGEDCO | 2.4.7 | 22 |
| Low plant load factor in thermal power stations | 2.4.8 | 23 |
| Failure to handhold with NTPC for improving performance of TPS | 2.4.9 | 24 |
| Lack of effective support from GoI with regard to supply of coal | 2.4.10 | 24 |
| Failure to achieve the target under PAT scheme for improving energy efficiency of power stations | 2.4.11 | 26 |
| Failure to include Reserved Shutdown hours in calculation of Plant Availability Factor | 2.4.12 | 27 |
| Procurement of Power | 2.4.13 | 28 |
| Non-compliance with the principle of Merit Order Despatch | 2.4.14 | 29 |
| Failure to renew the PPA at reduced offer rate | 2.4.15 | 30 |
| Procurement of costlier power under STOA | 2.4.16 | 31 |
| Incorrect fixation of percentage of transmission loss | 2.4.17 | 32 |
| Operational Turnaround | 2.4.18 | 33 |
| Non-achievement of Transmission Loss targets as per UDAY Scheme | 2.4.19 | 38 |
| Loss of additional grant due to delay in completion of IPDS and DDUGJY | 2.4.20 | 39 |

| CHAPTER-3 | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|-------------|
| Monitoring | | | |
| Monitoring | | 3.1 | 41 |
| Shortfall in review of performance of TANGEDCO at State Government level | | 3.1.2 | 41 |
| Lack of Supervision by Top Management | | 3.1.3 | 41 |
| Lack of Fixation of Responsibility | | 3.1.4 | 41 |
| Delay in consumer indexing and GIS mapping of losses | | 3.1.5 | 42 |
| CHAPTER-4 | | | |
| Conclusion | | | 43 |
| Recommendations | | | 44 |
| Annexure No. | ANNEXURES | Reference to | |
| | | Paragraph | Page |
| 1 | Financial Performance of TANGEDCO during the years 2015-16 to 2019-20 | 2.4.1 | 47 |
| 2 (a) | Details of short claim of subsidy on agricultural consumption | 2.4.6 (i) | 48 |
| 2 (b) | Details of short claim of subsidy for Hut connections | 2.4.6 (ii) | 48 |
| 3 | Statement showing the actual AT&C and consequent loss | 2.4.18.1 | 49 |
| 4 | Details of activity-wise target and achievements for operational turnaround | 2.4.18.3 | 50 |
| 5 | Statement showing excess transmission loss over the targeted level | 2.4.19 | 51 |
| | Glossary of Abbreviations | | 52 |